

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/698,587 | 10/31/2003 | Kimio Tatsumi | 116523.00145 | 1653 |
| 21324 7 | 7590 09/28/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP | | | WATSON, ROBERT C | |
| One GOJO Pla Suite 300 | za | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| AKRON, OH 44311-1076 | | | 3723 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/698,587 | TATSUMI, KIMIO | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Robert C. Watson | 3723 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Section 12 Section 12 Section 13 Section 13 Section 14 Section 15 FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 2b) ⊠ This 3 Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 15 Section 16 S | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-5 and 11-16 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | |
| Dotant and Trademark Office | | | | | |

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hung (Victor) in view of Wixey ('909).

Hung (Victor) shows a lift jack that has both a rapid rise/low pressure mechanical actuator and a slow rise/high pressure hydraulic actuator. Hung does not describe specifically the oil reservoir, drive pump, and the necessary valves. Wixey does describe the necessary oil reservoir, drive pump, and the necessary valves. It would have been obvious to provide the Wixey hydraulic circuitry for the Hung jack. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to provide the proper hydraulics for the rapid rise/low pressure condition. Hung does not specifically address whether or not there is an oil vacuum relief valve for allowing oil to flow from the oil reservoir into the hydraulic cylinder during the lifting. Wixey however does teach such a suction valve 116 (Wixey, column 4, lines 40-48). To provide in Hung an oil vacuum relief valve in Hung would have been obvious at the time of the invention in view of the teachings of Wixey. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to eliminate the vacuum behind the piston. Further, Hung does not specifically address whether or not there is a safety overpressure relief valve in the hydraulic circuit. Wixey teaches the use of an overpressure relief valve 36 (see column 4, lines 18-22). To employ an overpressure relief valve in the above applied

structure of Hung in view of Hung would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Wixley. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to enhance the safety of the jack.

Claims 1-5 and 11-16 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rcw

ROBERT C. WATSON PRIMARY EXAMINER